

## Introduction to **Quality of Service**

Andrea Bianco Telecommunication Network Group firstname.lastname@polito.it http://www.telematica.polito.it/

Bianco - TNG group - Politecnico di Torin

1

## **Quality of service**


- · What is the meaning of quality of service?
- · Different definitions
- We use the term mainly to describe performance seen by user
  - Define indices to describe quality
- Examples of indices describing quality of service:
  - Speed (in bit/s), throughput, bit rate, bandwidth
  - Delay (average, percentile, maximum, variance, jitter)
  - Loss probability
  - Error probability
  - Blocking probability
  - Fault probability or availability
  - Recovery time after a fault
  - Many others (time needed to open a connection, costs and tarifs ...)

2

## **Quality of service**

- Different types of traffic require attention to different indices of quality
  - Phone calls (human voice)
    - · Guaranteed fixed bit rate
    - · Low delays
    - · Low blocking probability
  - Data traffic
  - · Low or negligible loss probability
- Provide QoS in an heterogeneous environment is more difficult (traffic heterogeneity)
- Provide QoS to unpredictable traffic is more difficult (traffic characterization)

3



4

## **User traffic characterization**

- · CBR (Constant Bit Rate) sources:
  - Rate (bit/s)
    - · Data size
    - · "Perfectly" known
  - Call duration (s)
  - Call generation process
    - · Only statistically known

### User traffic characterization

- VBR sources:
  - Average rate (bit/s)
    - · Known?
    - · Over which period?
  - Peak rate (bit/s) or
  - Burstiness (Peak rate/ average rate)
    - Known (worst case)
  - Burst duration

  - Call duration (s)
  - Call generation process
    - Only statistically known

5

#### User traffic characterization Burstiness= Peak rate/ Average rate 1000 connectionless alphanumeric 100 graphical Burstiness terminals 10 VIDEO 106 107 10 10<sup>1</sup> Peak rate [bit/s] Bianco - TNG group - Politecnico di Torino

## **Quality of service**

- · Networks used as examples
  - Fixed telephone network: POTS
  - Internet
  - B-ISDN
- · Let's start by describing in an informal way the quality of service provided by these networks

Bianco - TNG group - Politecnico di Torino

8

7

#### **POTS**

- Characteristics
  - CBR source completely known (generated by the network)
  - Circuit switching
    - Constant, dedicated bit rate ⇒ no congestion
    - Minimum possible delay (only propagation): order of tens of ms (real time)
    - · Zero loss probability
  - Error probability smaller than few %
    Small or negligible blocking probability
- QoS largely independent on other users (apart from blocking probability)
- Network utilization can be really low, user satisfaction very high

#### Internet

- Characteristics
  - Source behavior unknown
  - Packet switching with datagram service
    - · Complete sharing of network resources
    - · Bit rate and delay unknown
    - · Possible congestion
    - · Loss probability may be significant
  - Error probability negligible in wired networks
  - Zero blocking probability
- · QoS largely dependent on other users
- Network utilization can be very high, user satisfaction can be very low

10

9

#### **B-ISDN**

- · Intermediate situation
  - Source known (either deterministically or statistically)
  - Packet switching with virtual circuit service
    - May introduce algorithms to control network resources sharing
    - · Bit rate and delay negotiable
    - · Loss probability negotiable
  - Blocking probability reasonably small
  - Error probability negligible
- QoS dependent on other user behavior and on algorithms used to manage network resources
- Trade network utilization and user satisfaction

## **Quality of service**

- Design problem
  - Given:
    - · Network topology (nodes, link speed)
    - · Traffic characterization
    - User behaviour
  - Jointly obtain:
    - Guaranteed QoS for each user connection
    - · High network utilization
- Without the objective of high network utilization, the problem becomes trivial
  - overprovisioning (power line or water distribution network)

Bianco - TNG group - Politecnico di Torino

<u>11</u>

## **Design to obtain QoS**

- · Different time scale (with different level of complexity)
- Network design and planning (resource deployment)
  - Possible re-design and re-planning
  - On the basis of traffic estimates and cost constraints
  - Exploits routing criteria and traffic engineering
- Network management (running a network)
  - Measurements
  - Fault management (protection and restoration)
  - May include simple re-design and re-planning
- · Connection management
- Data unit transport

<u>14</u>

#### Our definition of QoS

- · Assume that a network has been designed and is properly managed
  - Available resources are given
- Mainly study algorithms operating at the following time-
  - Connection management
  - Data unit transport
- Also named traffic control problem
- Must define what is meant by connection. Also named data classification problem.
- Two different traffic control principles:
  - Preventive control: mainly executed at network ingress, with fairly tight traffic control to avoid congestion insurgence in the network
  - Reactive control: react when congestion situation occur, to reduce or eliminate congestion negative effects

     TNG group Politectical direction

    Computer Networks Design and Control 1:

<u>13</u>

# Traffic control:

- Connection oriented network
- User-network service interface
- Traffic characterization
  - QoS negotiation
- Resource allocation (bit rate and buffer)
- Algorithms for traffic control
  - CAC (Connection Admission Control) and routing
  - Scheduling and buffer management (allocation, discard) in switching nodes
  - Conformance verification (policing or UPC: Usage Parameter Control)
  - Traffic shaping to adapt it to a given model
  - Congestion control

## Traffic control: connection oriented network

- The connection oriented paradigm permits to know which are the network elements over which traffic control algorithms must be executed (path known)
  - Circuit switching
  - Packet switching with virtual circuit service
- · If high utilization is a major objective:
  - Packet switching
- As such, the most suited switching technique to obtain QOS is packet switching with virtual circuit service

16

15

#### Traffic control:

#### user-network service interface

- The capability to control the network increases with the knowledge of user traffic. Limiting factor is the complexity.
- · Over the service interface
  - Traffic characterization
  - QoS parameters negotiation
- · Can be defined on a call basis or on a contract basis
- · POTS: implicit, on a contract basis
- Internet: not existing
- Frame relay: negotiable, normally on a contract basis
- B-ISDN: negotiable with traffic contract on both contract and
- Internet extended to support QoS: negotiable through a SLA (Service Level Agreement) mainly on a contract basis

## Traffic control: resource allocation

- Main resources:
  - Bit rate over transmission links
  - Buffer
- Resources can be allocated
  - On a contract basis (booking)
  - On a call basis
  - Packet by packet
- Allocation
  - Exclusive (dedicated resource)
  - Shared

# Introduction to QoS

## Algorithms: CAC and routing

- Routing
- QoS based path selection to router a connection
- CAC
  - Determine whether to accept a connection or not, depending on
    - The path chosen by the routing algorithm Traffic characterization

    - QoS requests
- Network status
- · Constraints
  - It is not acceptable to destroy or even reduce the quality of service guaranteed to already accepted connections  $\Rightarrow$  Can be relinquished

  - Connection must be refused to avoid network overload or
- Preventive control (but can become reactive)

# buffer management

Algorithms: scheduling and

- Scheduling
  - Choice of the data unit to be transmitted among data unit stored in the switch
- Buffer management
  - Allocation (partial/total, exclusive/shared) of memories in the switch
  - Dropping policies
- Mandatory in an heterogeneous environment to support different QOS requests
  - FIFO (First In First Out) or FCFS (First Came First Served) policy with drop-tail discard is optimal in a homogeneous environment
  - Counter for less than 10 pieces at supermarket
- Preventive and reactive

co – TNG group - Politecnico di Torino

20

<u>19</u>

## Algorithms: policing e shaping

- Policing (traffic verification)
  - Network control of user behavior to guarantee conformance to traffic characterization
- Shaping (traffic conditioning)
  - User/network adaptation of data traffic to make it conformant to a given characterization
- Mandatory to control user honesty and to adapt traffic which is difficult to generate as conformant a priori
- Where algorithms must be executed?
  - Only at network edge, i.e., when user access network?
  - Multiplexing points modify traffic shape
    - · Both at network access and internally to the network (UNI and NNI)
- Mainly preventive, but they can become reactive if QoS level may change over time

## **Algorithms: congestion control**

- Congestion
  - Traffic excess over a given channel (link)
- Can occur due to
  - Short term traffic variability
  - Allocation policies that share resources to increase network utilization
- Congestion effects:
  - Buffer occupancy increase
  - Delay increase
- Data loss
- Needed to obtain high link utilization
- Must execute at network edge, within the network or....?
- Reactive

22