

Introduction to **Quality of Service**

Andrea Bianco
Telecommunication Network Group
firstname.lastname@polito.it
http://www.telematica.polito.it/

Andrea Bianco - TNG group - Politecnico di Torino

Computer Networks Design and Control - 1

1

Quality of service

- · What is the meaning of quality of service?
- · Different definitions
- We use the term mainly to describe performance seen by user traffic
 - Define indices to describe quality
- Examples of indices describing quality of service:
 - Speed (in bit/s), throughput, bit rate, bandwidth
 - Delay (average, percentile, maximum, variance, jitter)
 - Loss probability
 - Error probability
 - Blocking probability
 - Fault probability or availability
 - Recovery time after a fault
- Many others (time needed to open a connection, costs and tarifs ...)

drea Bianco – TNG group - Politecnico di Torino Computer Networks Design and Control


2

Quality of service

- Different types of traffic require attention to different indices of quality
 - Phone calls (human voice)
 - Guaranteed fixed bit rate
 - Low delays
 - Low blocking probability
 - Data traffic
 - Low or negligible loss probability
- Provide QoS in an heterogeneous environment is more difficult (traffic heterogeneity)
- Provide QoS to unpredictable traffic is more difficult (traffic characterization)

Andrea Bianco – TNG group - Politecnico di Torino

Computer Networks Design and Control -



<u>4</u>

User traffic characterization


- · CBR (Constant Bit Rate) sources:
 - Rate (bit/s)
 - Data size
 - "Perfectly" known
 - Call duration (s)
 - Call generation process
 - Only statistically known

5

User traffic characterization

- · VBR sources:
 - Average rate (bit/s)

 - Known?Over which period?
 - Peak rate (bit/s) or
 - Burstiness (Peak rate/ average rate)
 - Known (worst case)
 - Burst duration
 - · Known?
 - Call duration (s)
 - Call generation process
 - · Only statistically known



<u>7</u>

Quality of service

- · Networks used as examples
 - Fixed telephone network: POTS
 - Internet
 - B-ISDN
- · Let's start by describing in an informal way the quality of service provided by these networks

8

POTS

- · Characteristics
 - CBR source completely known (generated by the network)
 - Circuit switching
 - Constant, dedicated bit rate ⇒ no congestion
 - Minimum possible delay (only propagation): order of tens of ms (real time)

 - Zero loss probability
 Error probability smaller than few %
 - Small or negligible blocking probability
- QoS largely independent on other users (apart from blocking probability)
- Network utilization can be really low, user satisfaction very high

Internet

- · Characteristics
 - Source behavior unknown
 - Packet switching with datagram service
 - Complete sharing of network resources
 - · Bit rate and delay unknown
 - Possible congestion
 - · Loss probability may be significant
 - Error probability negligible in wired networks
 - Zero blocking probability
- · QoS largely dependent on other users
- Network utilization can be very high, user satisfaction can be very low

Andrea Bianco – TNG group - Politecnico di Torino

<u>10</u>

B-ISDN

- · Intermediate situation
 - Source known (either deterministically or statistically)
 - Packet switching with virtual circuit service
 - May introduce algorithms to control network resources sharing
 - Bit rate and delay negotiable
 - Loss probability negotiable
 - Blocking probability reasonably small
 - Error probability negligible
- QoS dependent on other user behavior and on algorithms used to manage network resources
- · Trade network utilization and user satisfaction

Andrea Bianco – TNG group - Politecnico di Torino

Computer Networks Design and Control -

<u>11</u>

Quality of service

- Design problem
 - Given:
 - · Network topology (nodes, link speed)
 - Traffic characterization
 - User behaviour
 - Jointly obtain:
 - Guaranteed QoS for each user connection
 - · High network utilization
- Without the objective of high network utilization, the problem becomes trivial
 - overprovisioning (power line or water distribution network)

Andrea Bianco – TNG group - Politecnico di Torino

Computer Networks Design and Control - 1

Design to obtain QoS

- · Different time scale (with different level of complexity)
- · Network design and planning (resource deployment)
 - Possible re-design and re-planning
 - On the basis of traffic estimates and cost constraints
 - Exploits routing criteria and traffic engineering
- · Network management (running a network)
 - Measurements
 - Fault management (protection and restoration)
 - May include simple re-design and re-planning
- · Connection management
- Data unit transport

<u>13</u>

Our definition of QoS

- · Assume that a network has been designed and is properly managed
 - Available resources are given
- · Mainly study algorithms operating at the following time-
 - Connection management
 - Data unit transport
- Also named traffic control problem
- Must define what is meant by connection. Also named data classification problem.

IWO different traffic control principles: Preventive control : mainly executed at network ingress, with fairly tight traffic control to avoid congestion insurgence in the network Reactive control: react when congestion situation occur, to reduce or eliminate congestion negative effects Computer Networks Design and Control - 14	-
4	
Traffic control:	
Connection oriented network User-network service interface Traffic characterization QoS negotiation Resource allocation (bit rate and buffer)	
 Algorithms for traffic control CAC (Connection Admission Control) and routing Scheduling and buffer management (allocation, discard) in switching nodes Conformance verification (policing or UPC: Usage 	-
Parameter Control) - Traffic shaping to adapt it to a given model - Congestion control	
Andrea Blanco – TNG group - Politecnico di Torino Computer Networks Design and Control - 15	

Traffic control: connection oriented network

- The connection oriented paradigm permits to know which are the network elements over which traffic control algorithms must be executed (path known)
 - Circuit switching
 - Packet switching with virtual circuit service
- If high utilization is a major objective:
 - Packet switching
- As such, the most suited switching technique to obtain QOS is packet switching with virtual circuit service

Andrea Bianco - TNG group - Politecnico di Torino

computer Networks Design and Control - 16

<u>16</u>

Traffic control:

user-network service interface

- The capability to control the network increases with the knowledge of user traffic. Limiting factor is the complexity.
- · Over the service interface
 - Traffic characterization
 - QoS parameters negotiation
- · Can be defined on a call basis or on a contract basis
- · POTS: implicit, on a contract basis
- · Internet: not existing
- · Frame relay: negotiable, normally on a contract basis
- B-ISDN: negotiable with traffic contract on both contract and call basis
- Internet extended to support QoS: negotiable through a SLA (Service Level Agreement) mainly on a contract basis

Andrea Bianco – TNG group - Politecnico di Torino

Computer Networks Design and Control - 1

17

Traffic control: resource allocation

- · Main resources:
 - Bit rate over transmission links
 - Buffer
- · Resources can be allocated
 - On a contract basis (booking)
 - On a call basis
 - Packet by packet
- · Allocation
 - Exclusive (dedicated resource)
 - Shared

Andrea Bianco – TNG group - Politecnico di Torino

Computer Networks Design and Control - 1

	\circ
٠.	

Algorithms: CAC and routing Routing QoS based path selection to router a connection CAC - Determine whether to accept a connection or not, depending on The path chosen by the routing algorithm Traffic characterization QoS requests Network status · Constraints It is not acceptable to destroy or even reduce the quality of service guaranteed to already accepted connections ⇒ Can be relinquished Connection must be refused to avoid network overload or Preventive control (but can become reactive) a Bianco – TNG group - Politecnico di Torino Algorithms: scheduling and buffer management - Choice of the data unit to be transmitted among data unit stored in · Buffer management Allocation (partial/total, exclusive/shared) of memories in the switch

Dropping policies

different QOS requests

· Preventive and reactive

Computer Networks Design and Control - 2

20

<u>19</u>

Algorithms: policing e shaping

Mandatory in an heterogeneous environment to support

- Counter for less than 10 pieces at supermarket

 FIFO (First In First Out) or FCFS (First Came First Served) policy with drop-tail discard is optimal in a homogeneous environment

- · Policing (traffic verification)
 - Network control of user behavior to guarantee conformance to traffic characterization
- Shaping (traffic conditioning)
 - User/network adaptation of data traffic to make it conformant to a given characterization
- Mandatory to control user honesty and to adapt traffic which is difficult to generate as conformant a priori
- Where algorithms must be executed?
 - Only at network edge, i.e., when user access network?
 - Multiplexing points modify traffic shape
 - Both at network access and internally to the network (UNI and NNI)
- Mainly preventive, but they can become reactive if QoS level may change over time

Andrea Bianco – TNG group - Politecnico di Torino

Computer Networks Design and Control - 21

Algorithms: congestion control

- Congestion
 Traffic excess over a given channel (link)
- · Can occur due to
 - Short term traffic variability
 - Allocation policies that share resources to increase network utilization
- · Congestion effects:
 - Buffer occupancy increase
 - Delay increase
 - Data loss
- · Needed to obtain high link utilization
- Must execute at network edge, within the network or...?
- · Reactive